



Revolutionizing Healthcare With The Internet Of Things (IoT): A Systematic Review Of Innovations, Challenges, And Future Directions

Prajali Patil¹, Dr. Ajit Kumar² & Dr. Rachana Shinde³

¹Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of Computer Science,

Shri JJT University, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan, India

²Assistant Professor and Ph.D. Research Guide,

Department of Computer Science and Engineering,

Shri JJT University, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan, India

³Assistant Professor at D.Y Patil Centre for Management and Research, Pune (MH)

And Ph.D Research Co-Guide, Department of Computer Science,

Shri JJT University, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan, India

Corresponding Author: Prajali Patil

DOI -10.5281/zenodo.15075676

Abstract:

The Internet of Things (IoT) fundamentally lessens medical services costs and further develops therapy results. Before the approach of the Internet of Things, patients could associate with doctors face to face, through phone, or by instant messages. Medical care professionals missing the mark on feasible strategy to assess patients' wellbeing and give direction ceaselessly. IoT-empowered innovation has worked with remote checking in medical services, improving patient wellbeing and wellbeing while at the same time empowering clinical staff to give ideal therapy. This survey offers a broad investigation of the writing about the impact of IoT on medical services conveyance, both terrible and great, and looks at the difficulties of execution in spite of its huge benefits. Web crawlers like Google Researcher, PubMed, and ScienceDirect were utilized to recognize papers on the impacts of IoT-based medical care conveyance. Information were assembled from recognized articles, and the nature of examination qualified for consideration and rejection was assessed and recorded. It incorporates the approval of the recommended advancements and their clinical viability. The assessment distinguished the lacking government obligation to financing IoT drives as a critical snag to their sending in medical care conveyance, given the significant starting capital required and progressing upkeep costs. Besides, numerous clinical professionals stay acquainted with conventional (manual) techniques for offering their types of assistance, thus shunning innovation progressions. Despite the limits, it was seen that the Internet of Things (IoT) has emphatically affected medical care conveyance by improving and upgrading specialist patient collaborations, while additionally expanding patient commitment and fulfillment. Also, far off understanding observing diminishes emergency clinic stays and forestalls readmissions by following patients' wellbeing.

Keywords: Impact; Internet of Things; Healthcare Delivery; Digital Health; Electronic Health.

Introduction:

The globalization of economies, cultural changes, and different variables force new necessities on wellbeing

specialist organizations around the world; simultaneously, computerized advancements and headways in correspondence present open doors for

these suppliers and policymakers to upgrade access, adequacy, moderateness, and practicality of medical care.

The limit of IoT innovation to lighten the stress on medical care frameworks because of a maturing people and an ascent in persistent illnesses has drawn in critical consideration lately [2]. Dhingra et al. [3] affirm that in ongoing many years, the multiplication of advanced wellbeing administrations has flooded emphatically and without guideline, provoking worries over information protection, moral norms, and administration quality. The medical care framework has formed into a perplexing biological system of numerous gadgets, data sets, and correspondence innovations that together give different medical care administrations.

The right to medical care is an inborn qualification of each and every person, including open, accessible, adequate, and great clinical therapies consistently and areas. Medical care associations and suppliers in both high level and agricultural countries have a few obstacles close by the requirement for top notch and fair help conveyance.

Internationally, there are purposeful endeavors pointed toward changing access, medical services conveyance, patient encounters, and wellbeing results through computerized wellbeing (counting eHealth, mHealth, and so forth) [6].

Computerized wellbeing is a progression of earlier eHealth drives and mediations by the World Wellbeing Association (WHO). The WHO characterizes eHealth as the utilization of Data and Correspondence Innovation (ICT) in medical care for guaranteed patient therapy, leading examination, showing understudies, observing illnesses, and regulating general wellbeing. The new headways initiated by WHO have progressed from eHealth to advanced wellbeing, zeroing in on computerized purchasers, using a broad exhibit of savvy gadgets and interconnected hardware, close by other imaginative and arising ideas like the Internet of Things (IoT) and the expanded use of Man-made reasoning (computer based intelligence), huge information, and examination.

| Nomenclature & Symbols | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---------|--------------------------------------|
| AND | Logic AND | IoT | Internet of Things |
| AI | Artificial Intelligence | M2M | Machine-to-Machine |
| DOI | Digital Object Identifiers | mHealth | Mobile Health |
| ECG | Electrocardiogram | MS | Microsoft |
| EEG | Electroencephalogram | OR | Logic OR |
| eHealth | Electronic Health | PRISMA | Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis |
| EMG | Electromyogram | WHA | World Health Assembly |
| ICT | Information and Communication Technology | WHO | World Health Organization |

Computerized wellbeing includes eHealth and mHealth, tending to worries like versatility, replicability, interoperability, security, and availability,

and is generally founded on eHealth. eHealth, as characterized On the planet Wellbeing Gathering's goal WHA-WHA58.28, alludes to the solid and

financially savvy use of data and correspondence advances in wellbeing related spaces, including medical care, wellbeing reconnaissance, wellbeing writing, and wellbeing schooling, information, and exploration, subsequently building up the vision and extension laid out by the goals on the side of wellbeing frameworks and widespread wellbeing inclusion. [8].

The Internet of Things (IoT) is among the most quickly growing innovations of the twenty-first hundred years. It fulfills every single contemporary necessity and is an organization engineering by which all actual substances are interconnected by means of a switch to work with information trade. The Internet of Things (IoT) is an innovation empowering controller of items over a laid out network, described by savvy network that limits human intercession and uses computerization to run hardware independently, without client input [9]. The broad utilization of IoT gadgets influences interconnected frameworks in business, medical care, and a few different areas [10].

Quick execution of proactive danger alleviation and the improvement of strong security arrangements utilizing trend setting innovations are fundamental. The innate constraints of shrewd IoT applications give a critical impediment to security, requiring the thought of proper safety efforts [11]. The essential IoT advancements are distributed computing and blockchain. In any case, their lacks in the medical care area are not really recognized. Blockchain can improve security however experiences extensive inertness and restricted versatility. On the other hand, distributed computing presents

difficulties connected with security and protection, the dependability of patient information, framework straightforwardness, and complex execution observing, which are among the distinguished issues with IoT.

This study expected to survey the great and adverse results of IoT on medical services conveyance. The beneficial outcome alludes to the benefits that the wellbeing business will acquire from IoT, while the adverse consequence resolves the issues emerging from the oversight of IoT arrangement.

Materials and Methods:

This deliberate audit planned to reject unsatisfactory and one-sided examinations, picking to involve the Favored Revealing Things for Efficient Surveys and Meta-Investigations (PRISMA) structure to streamline the nature of the writing analyzed.

Literature Search Strategy and Keywords:

Conspicuous diary information bases, including Google Researcher, oversaw by Google; PubMed, regulated by the Public Library of Medication; and ScienceDirect, possessed by Elsevier, were utilized to look for papers about the impact of IoT on medical care conveyance. We expected to secure a complete assortment of articles to successfully lead our precise writing survey and relieve predisposition in our report. Subsequently, we chose the distributed datasets for the examination.

We continued to depict the relevant watchwords or search queries related with the issue and afterward determined the wellsprings of information, assets, and the strategies utilized for writing determination and

quest approaches for the exploration. The watchwords or search queries were determined and considered relying upon the review question. In this manner, we analyzed the chief equivalents and elective spellings, hence approving them against significant writing. Boolean administrators like OR AND were utilized, and in certain cases, quotes were applied around a few terms to implement a precise pursuit.

We effectively planned our quest terms for the predetermined distribution information bases — Google Researcher, PubMed, and ScienceDirect — as follows: impact OR internet of things OR medical care conveyance OR "advanced wellbeing" OR "electronic wellbeing".

Search Strategy Using Google Scholar:

Using the produced watchwords as a hunt question in the Google Researcher data set yielded 400 20,000 (420,000) results, dated somewhere in the range of 2017 and 2022. Considering that Google Researcher works fundamentally as a web search tool as opposed to a distributing information base, the outcomes incorporated a lot of dim writing. We limited the query items to evaluated papers by choosing the Survey articles interface and separating for English-language articles as it were. This created 55 thousand 300 (55,300) records while saving the date range.

Search strategy using PubMed:

To distinguish writing relevant to our examination subject, similar watchwords utilized for the review were used in the PubMed data set. A sum of 75 thousand 700 89 (75,789) records of distributed articles were recovered from the pursuit. Before the hunt yielding the previously mentioned results, the consideration rules of Text Accessibility

(Dynamic and Full Text), Article Type (Books and Reports, Survey, and Methodical Audit), and Language (English) were applied, bringing about a sum of one hundred 20,000 one hundred three (120,103) sections.

Extraction of Data:

The Prohibition and Consideration strategies utilized on the articles got from the three information sources altogether decreased the outcomes, making them reasonable for additional handling by separating fundamental data relevant to the review question and reason.

The Mendeley Work area Reference Supervisor (v1.19.8) was utilized to send out distribution records into a comma-isolated esteem document, then stacked into Microsoft Succeed 2016. A writing survey lattice was made in MS Succeed to classify references into shared subject regions as per the writers of each work. Consequently, all articles were assessed by their edited compositions, ends, and discussions to extricate applicable information for each subject region.

Organizing the material got from these distributions into subjects would work with the distinguishing proof of the common points of view across different essayists and help in blending and understanding the accentuation of each piece.

Results:

The accompanying segment presents the primary outcomes and decisions from a thorough assessment of the effect of the Internet of Things (IoT) on medical care conveyance. This exploration analyzed broad writing about the impact of IoT on medical care consumptions, therapy results, and the

elements among patients and doctors. The goal was to survey the advantages and downsides of involving IoT in medical services and the boundaries upsetting its far reaching reception.

The exploration zeroed in on the advantages of Internet of Things (IoT)-empowered innovation, which has upset medical care by working with distant patient checking. The Internet of Things (IoT) has produced novel possibilities for working on tolerant security, wellbeing results, and the general nature of clinical treatment by means of the nonstop observing of patients' circumstances and the arrangement of constant counsel. Medical services experts are currently more capable at giving ideal therapy and backing, regardless of area, because of the capacity to remotely screen patients.

This study utilized conspicuous web search tools and diary distributing data sets like Google Researcher, PubMed, and ScienceDirect to recognize appropriate exploration distributions with respect to the ramifications of IoT-based medical care conveyance. To ensure thorough consideration and avoidance standards, information were extricated from the chose studies, and the nature of the examinations was evaluated.

The writing research uncovered numerous obstructions to the utilization of IoT in medical care administrations. The significant beginning venture and progressing support costs give an eminent test because of the absence of government obligation to subsidize IoT endeavors. Moreover, a few clinical specialists continue utilizing conventional, manual strategies for conveying medicines, thus frustrating the coordination of IoT innovation.

Regardless, the exploration

uncovered that IoT offers a few positive perspectives for medical services conveyance, in spite of these difficulties. Essentially, the communications among doctors and patients have become more smoothed out and successful, upgrading patient commitment and fulfillment. Also, since patients' wellbeing might be carefully noticed from a distance, IoT-empowered far off quiet checking has shown potential in diminishing hospitalizations and forestalling pointless readmissions.

The review's point by point results, examinations, and the approval of proposed IoT advances along with their clinical viability are expounded upon in the ensuing pieces of this article. The outcomes will give fundamental experiences to medical services suppliers, states, and scholastics expecting to utilize the capability of IoT in changing the medical services scene. The parts will likewise underline the exhaustive effect of IoT on medical services conveyance.

Why the Need for IoT in Healthcare Delivery?

With the maturing populace, constant sicknesses are becoming normal, requiring more successive counsels with medical services suppliers and further hospitalizations. By 2050, the quantity of senior people is projected to arrive at around 1.5 billion. The quick turn of events and execution of brilliant and IoT-based advancements have worked with a plenty of mechanical developments across a few parts of life. The primary goal of IoT advancements is to upgrade activities across assorted areas, expand framework effectiveness (whether innovative or specific), and ultimately hoist personal satisfaction.

It is essential to distinguish techniques to

lighten the weight on medical care frameworks while keeping up with excellent therapy for in danger populaces. The Internet of Things (IoT) is in many cases advanced as an expected answer for lighten pressures on medical services frameworks.

The Internet of Things (IoT) is changing medical care by progressing from a regular center point based model to a more individualized medical services framework (PHS), typifying the vision of the following period of the Data and Correspondence Innovation (ICT) insurgency, which incorporates components and innovation from a few strategies [19], [20].

IoT shrewd devices in medical services give imaginative and alluring techniques to checking and recording patients' information at their homes and working environments, while likewise working with the programmed transmission of information to electronic frameworks. In spite of being in its beginning stage, IoT mix in medical care is expected to prompt the broad reception of interconnected and programmable clinical gadgets fit for intercommunication, in this manner upgrading care quality and, thus, patient wellbeing.

The Internet of Things has huge commitment for upgrading and further developing medical care administrations, empowering checking whenever and from any spot. These administrations assemble assorted bio-signals utilizing numerous sensors. Electroencephalogram (EEG), electrocardiogram (ECG), the heart's electrical movement, and electromyogram (EMG) were archived [10]. The Internet of Things has decidedly influenced e-wellbeing, helped living, human-driven

detecting, and health. This association has recently been alluded to as e-medical care, helped living, human-driven detecting, and health [22].

Clinical applications, including work out regimes, senior consideration, distant wellbeing observing, and ongoing illness the executives, may all acquire benefits from IoT innovation. These advantages frequently incorporate refined correspondence across frameworks, administrations, and gadgets that rises above machine-to-machine (M2M) connections. Gridlock, squander the executives, savvy urban communities, security, shrewd wellbeing, planned operations, debacle administrations, medical care, trade, and business the board are among the applications and administrations that might profit from the Internet of Things [13]. These advanced devices work with analysis, arrangement booking, and therapy of wiped out patients, in this manner diminishing the gamble of viral contamination among essential consideration clinicians and staff [23].

The Internet of Things (IoT) has fundamentally added to the advancement of fundamental digital actual frameworks and is utilized across a few applications, including medical services. It has collected expanded interest on the grounds that to its capability to reduce the weight on the medical services framework coming about because of a maturing populace, an ascent in persistent diseases, and worldwide pandemics [14]. The incorporation of little, strong, and clever detecting gadgets with IoT standards improves medical services accessibility and openness, working with the advancement of additional custom-made frameworks and

advancing top caliber, financially savvy medical services conveyance.

The reconciliation of IoT innovation in medical care offers a few advantages, for example, diminished help costs, sped up and more secure deterrent consideration, improved patient-focused rehearses, higher supportability, and better therapy results. The Internet of Things (IoT) has shown its viability and critical commitment to the headway and improvement of medical care administrations and their quality by making a set-up of applications and administrations pointed toward tending to a few troubles in this space [20], [24]. It will work with information transmission among different gadget sorts, improve transportation security, decline energy utilization, and advance wellbeing.

Aghdam et al. [2], [25] affirm that the Internet of Things will empower specialists and emergency clinic faculty to play out their obligations all the more effectively and wisely. Observing may, for example, work with the oversight of non-basic patients from home rather than in the clinic, thus decreasing the interest on clinic assets like doctors and beds. It might improve rustic occupants' admittance to medical care or empower older people to keep up with free residing at home for broadened spans.

What are the Implementation Challenges of IoT in Healthcare Delivery?

Despite the clear benefits of e-wellbeing stages, emergency clinics and short term centers keep on contingent upon the regular clinical benefit worldview. In clinical schooling, a comparable dynamic wins, since traditional strategies and approaches keep on being the dominating approach to

preparing clinical understudies and occupants. The shortfall of financing, particularly from administrative sources, is an extra impediment to the broad utilization of advanced innovation in medical care.

Taking into account the information volume produced, the meaning of brief determination and independent direction, and the requirement for fast responses to distinguished oddities, communicating all information to the Cloud for investigation might be illogical. Transferring broad information to the Cloud for investigation and capacity is unreasonable and tedious, possibly unfavorably influencing wellbeing related dynamic cycles. Present distributed computing advances are viewed as deficient for dealing with the entire volume of information produced by IoT.

Broad availability among digital physical (IoT) frameworks presents a few security issues. These security weaknesses might disturb the entire applications/frameworks and result in desperate repercussions. Therefore, trust and security are basic necessities that could upset entire applications and frameworks, bringing about serious repercussions [11].

The quick development of IoT advancements has prompted an expanded interest for unrefined components important for the creation of different electronic gadgets, bringing about the current or approaching shortage of certain assets. The drawn out suggestions and manageability of IoT innovation stay vague and have not been thoroughly researched. Working IoT gadgets requires significant energy utilization, and the hardware area has a few hindering

ecological effects that need careful assessment. Significant advancement in both specific electrical parts and natural programming arrangements is essential [26]. Various difficulties, including a lack of reasonable and exact shrewd clinical sensors, unstandardized IoT framework designs, the heterogeneity of associated wearable gadgets, and the multidimensionality of produced information, block the compelling usage of cutting edge IoT innovation in private wellbeing frameworks (PHS) [20].

A trouble presented by the development of the Internet of Things (IoT) is the joining and harmonization of information from digital actual frameworks with that delivered by regular data frameworks. The essential weaknesses envelop the security chances connected to the combination of delicate data in a solitary data set, the conceivable need for occasional recalibration of a singular's sensors to keep up with exact checking, and the gamble of patients becoming separated from medical care administrations in the event that they are past cell inclusion or on the other hand assuming their gadgets exhaust their battery [2], [27].

The development of the Internet of

Things (IoT) presents numerous obstructions for the medical services area, including issues connected with security, protection, interoperability, and guidelines. No particular IoT design can uphold all possible IoT-based medical care applications because of the large number of different gadgets and stages available for execution.

Advantages and Disadvantages of IoT Techniques in Healthcare Delivery:

The Internet of Things (IoT) has affected extraordinary changes across a few enterprises, including medical care administration conveyance. Carrying out IoT procedures includes connecting numerous frameworks, sensors, and gadgets to the internet. This works with productive information transport and prompt checking. The Internet of Things has a few open doors and benefits in medical services, for example, upgrading patient consideration, expanding functional productivity, and working with proactive medical care the board. Regardless, with its benefits, there are additionally disadvantages and difficulties that should be thought of. Table 1 examines the benefits and detriments of involving IoT philosophies in clinical consideration conveyance.

Table 1. A table showing the advantages and disadvantages of some IoT technologies

| IoT Technique | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|--------------------------|---|--|
| Remote Monitoring | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enables continuous tracking of patient health. - Helps reduce hospital readmission rates. - Aids in early detection of potential health issues. - Allows for personalized and proactive medical interventions. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Raises concerns regarding data security and patient privacy. - Involves complex technical implementation. - Generates excessive data, leading to processing challenges. - May suffer from inconsistencies in data transmission. |
| Telemedicine | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provides remote access to medical consultations. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Limits the ability to perform physical examinations. |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Expands healthcare services to underserved areas. - Minimizes travel expenses and time for patients and doctors. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Requires stable internet connectivity for seamless operation. - Lacks sufficient non-verbal communication cues during interactions. |
| Wearable Devices | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Continuously tracks real-time health metrics. - Encourages patient involvement in health management. - Helps in early detection of abnormalities. - Facilitates continuous feedback on health status. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Accuracy and reliability of data can be inconsistent. - Faces challenges in user adoption and acceptance. - Information overload may affect decision-making. - Technical constraints such as battery life and device compatibility. |
| AI-based Systems | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enhances data-driven analysis for precision medicine. - Supports development of personalized treatment plans. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Raises ethical concerns related to data privacy and potential biases in algorithms. |
| Decision Support Systems | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Improves diagnostic efficiency and accuracy. - Aids healthcare providers in making clinical decisions. - Reduces medical errors and enhances patient safety. - Strengthens evidence-based healthcare practices. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Risk of overdependence on technology by healthcare professionals. - Challenges in understanding and interpreting complex algorithms. - Requires frequent updates and system maintenance. - Difficulties in integrating with existing healthcare infrastructure. |

Discussion:

The computerized unrest has impacted and changed medical services frameworks across. It involves modifications in the major standards and practices of clinical benefits and training [20]. Innovation is upsetting our reality and propelling us into a more modern mechanical time. The rising meaning of the Internet of Things in medical services is unquestionable. Various significant specialized progressions in both equipment and programming parts are important to make dependable, secure, effective, quick, versatile, patient-focused,

energy-productive, and unavoidable medical care frameworks.

Ventures are particularly centered around the turn of events and execution of IoT gadgets for applications and administrations inside the medical services area, including medical care observing [10]. The movement of the Internet of Things (IoT) decidedly impacts numerous aspects of medical care, with the essential advantages of IoT-empowered e-wellbeing frameworks being the arrangement of top notch medical care to people from any area.

In the area of electronic wellbeing

(e-wellbeing), where current advancements give quick admittance to patient consideration, the Internet of Things (IoT) has critical potential. Medical care laborers are involving innovation progressions for trustworthy patient checking and enlistment. The impending components of the medical services framework work with the improvement of versatile applications for people of different socioeconomics [29], [30].

The idea of IoT has advanced from a few innovations, including sensors, AI, ongoing testing, and implanted frameworks. It relates to the idea of wise clinics and different fixed or remote gear [31]. The Internet of Things (IoT) and e-wellbeing are key advances that, when coordinated, generally modify one individual to another, human-to-endlessly machine to-machine (M2M) associations, subsequently altering medical care in the entirety of its aspects. E-Wellbeing has the ability to change medical care conveyance by improving the quality, responsibility, and cost-proficiency of administrations [19], [21].

Trust, protection, security concerns, administrative difficulties, personality, and semantic interoperability are pivotal for the broad reception of IoT and e-wellbeing together. Critical holes stay for future review concerning IoT innovation, the medical care framework, and its buyers. Future examination on IoT innovation should zero in on the plan of IoT gadgets that utilization normalized conventions and guarantee similarity with global and cross-state wellbeing frameworks [17], [21].

The major security shortcomings and dangers to the framework and gadgets should be tended to. The greatness, multifaceted nature, and aloof

qualities of information obtaining through IoT gadgets present particular worries concerning security, protection, and individual wellbeing [29]. One more issue is the dependability and security of these computerized wellbeing headways [23]. The presentation of existing IoT medical services frameworks should be worked on by the utilization of progress procedures and strategies [10]. The successful utilization of IoT in e-wellbeing depends on upgrading asset usage and handling to improve the usefulness of medical services foundations [30]. Notwithstanding the mechanical progressions of IoT, the security of medical services data and correspondence innovation networks stays a huge concern [29]. Availability, power supply, range designation, data transmission restrictions, and cost comprise predominant deterrents to IoT applications [31].

Conclusion:

A fitting system for the execution of IoT in e-wellbeing is fundamental to give interoperability across gadgets to handling and productive asset use. Various e-wellbeing models have been made, each with unmistakable advantages and constraints. By the by, these frameworks have not effectively settled a few difficulties, including versatility. Carrying out IoT-based e-wellbeing frameworks in lacking countries is extremely difficult, regardless of the normal goal of giving wellbeing checking and appraisal to people without admittance to medical services in both creating and created nations [30].

The assessment distinguished that deficient government help for subsidizing IoT projects obstructs its execution in

medical care conveyance because of the requirement for significant beginning and ceaseless capital speculation. In any case, a few clinical experts keep on utilizing out of date (manual) ways for conveying their administrations, shunning innovation arrangements.

That's what we recommend, for the aggregate advantage, noble cause, companies, and global associations ought to help legislatures, particularly in emerging countries, in the execution and utilization of IoT in medical care administrations.

References:

- 1) T. Boyce and C. Brown, "Economic and social impacts and benefits of health systems," World Heal. Organ., p. 56, 2019, [Online]. Available: <http://www.euro.who.int/pubrequest>.
- 2) S. B. Baker, W. Xiang, and I. Atkinson, "Internet of Things for Smart Healthcare: Technologies, Challenges, and Opportunities," IEEE Access, vol. 5, pp. 26521–26544, 2017, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2775180.
- 3) D. Dhingra and A. Dabas, Global Strategy on Digital Health, vol. 57, no. 4. 2020. doi: 10.1007/s13312-020-1789-7.
- 4) S. Zeadally and O. Bello, "Harnessing the power of Internet of Things based connectivity to improve healthcare," Internet of Things (Netherlands), vol. 14, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.iot.2019.100074.
- 5) M. Maksimović, "Improving computing issues in internet of things driven e-health systems," CEUR Workshop Proc., vol. 1852, pp. 14– 17, 2017, Accessed: Jun. 01, 2022. [Online]. Available: <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-1852/p03.pdf>,

- 6) J. Chan, "Exploring digital health care: Ehealth, mhealth, and librarian opportunities," J. Med. Libr. Assoc., vol. 109, no. 3, pp. 376–381, 2021, doi: 10.5195/jmla.2021.1180.
- 7) National Department of Health, National Digital Health Strategy for South Africa 2019 - 2024. 2019. [Online]. Available: <http://www.health.gov.za/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/national-digital-strategy-for-south-africa-2019-2024-b.pdf>.
- 8) N. Al-Shorbaji, "The world health assembly resolutions on ehealth: Ehealth in support of universal health coverage," Methods Inf. Med., vol. 52, no. 6, pp. 463–466, 2013, doi: 10.1055/s-0038-1627062.
- 9) M. Singh, S. Sachan, A. Singh, and K. K. Singh, "Internet of Things in pharma industry: Possibilities and challenges," Emerg. Pharm. Ind. Growth with Ind. IoT Approach, pp. 195–216, 2019, doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-819593-2.00007-8.
- 10) N. M. M. Abdelnabi, N. F. Omran, A. A. Ali, and F. A. Omara, "A survey of internet of things technologies and projects for healthcare services," Proc. 2018 Int. Conf. Innov. Trends Comput. Eng. ITCE 2018, vol. 2018-March, pp. 48–55, 2018, doi: 10.1109/ITCE.2018.8316599.
- 11) N. Tariq, F. A. Khan, and M. Asim, "Security Challenges and Requirements for Smart Internet of Things Applications: A Comprehensive Analysis," Procedia Comput. Sci., vol. 191, pp. 425–430, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2021.07.053.
- 12) J. Calvillo-Arbizu, I. Román-Martínez, and J. Reina-Tosina, "Internet of things in health: Requirements, issues, and gaps," Comput. Methods Programs Biomed., vol. 208, Sep. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2021.106231.
- 13) S. T. U. Shah, H. Yar, I. Khan, M. Ikram, and H. Khan, "Internet of

- things-based healthcare: Recent advances and challenges,” *EAI/Springer Innov. Commun. Comput.*, pp. 153–162, 2019, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-96139-2_15.
- 14) N. N. Thilakarathne, M. K. Kagita, and D. T. R. Gadekallu, “The Role of the Internet of Things in Health Care: A Systematic and Comprehensive Study,” *Int. J. Eng. Manag. Res.*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 145–159, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.31033/ijemr.10.4.22.
 - 15) Y. A. Qadri, A. Nauman, Y. Bin Zikria, A. V. Vasilakos, and S. W. Kim, “The Future of Healthcare Internet of Things: A Survey of Emerging Technologies,” *IEEE Commun. Surv. Tutorials*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 1121–1167, 2020, doi: 10.1109/COMST.2020.2973314.
 - 16) Y. Perwej, K. Haq, F. Parwej, and M. M., “The Internet of Things (IoT) and its Application Domains,” *Int. J. Comput. Appl.*, vol. 182, no. 49, pp. 36–49, 2019, doi: 10.5120/ijca2019918763.
 - 17) J. T. Kelly, K. L. Campbell, E. Gong, and P. Scuffham, “The Internet of Things: Impact and Implications for Health Care Delivery,” *J. Med. Internet Res.*, vol. 22, no. 11, p. e20135, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.2196/20135.
 - 18) M. J. Page et al., “The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews,” *BMJ*, vol. 372, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.1136/BMJ.N71.
 - 19) M. Belesioti et al., “E-health services in the context of iot: The case of the vicinity project,” *IFIP Adv. Inf. Commun. Technol.*, vol. 520, pp. 62–69, 2018, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-92016-0_6.
 - 20) J. Qi, P. Yang, G. Min, O. Amft, F. Dong, and L. Xu, “Advanced internet of things for personalised healthcare systems: A survey,” *Pervasive Mob. Comput.*, vol. 41, pp. 132–149, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.pmcj.2017.06.018.
 - 21) M. Maksimović and V. Vujović, “Internet of Things Based E-health Systems: Ideas, Expectations and Concerns,” *Springer*, pp. 241–280, 2017, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-58280-1_10.
 - 22) A. Macdermott, P. Kendrick, I. Idowu, M. Ashall, and Q. Shi, “Securing things in the healthcare internet of things,” *Glob. IoT Summit, GloTS 2019 - Proc.*, 2019, doi: 10.1109/GIOTS.2019.8766383.
 - 23) M. Senbekov et al., “The recent progress and applications of digital technologies in healthcare: A review,” *Int. J. Telemed. Appl.*, vol. 2020, p. 8830200, 2020, doi: 10.1155/2020/8830200.
 - 24) F. Z. Fagroud, H. Toumi, E. H. Ben Lahmar, M. A. Talhaoui, K. Achtaich, and S. El Filali, “Impact of IoT devices in E-Health: A Review on IoT in the context of COVID-19 and its variants,” *Procedia Comput. Sci.*, vol. 191, pp. 343–348, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2021.07.046.
 - 25) Z. N. Aghdam, A. M. Rahmani, and M. Hosseinzadeh, “The Role of the Internet of Things in Healthcare: Future Trends and Challenges,” *Comput. Methods Programs Biomed.*, vol. 199, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2020.105903.
 - 26) S. Nižetić, P. Šolić, D. López-de-Ipiña González-de-Artaza, and L. Patrono, “Internet of Things (IoT): Opportunities, issues and challenges towards a smart and sustainable future,” *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 274, p. 122877, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122877.
 - 27) A. Paziienza, G. Polimeno, F. Vitulano, and Y. Maruccia, “Towards a digital future: An innovative semantic iot integrated platform for industry 4.0, healthcare, and territorial control,” *Conf. Proc. - IEEE Int. Conf. Syst. Man Cybern.*, vol. 2019-Octob, pp. 587–592, 2019, doi: 10.1109/SMC.2019.8914662.
 - 28) S. Zeadally, F. Siddiqui, Z. Baig, and A. Ibrahim, “Smart healthcare:

- Challenges and potential solutions using internet of things (IoT) and big data analytics,” *PSU Res. Rev.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 149–168, 2020, Accessed: Jun. 01, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/PRR-08-2019-0027/full/html>.
- 31) T. M. Ghazal, “Internet of Things with Artificial Intelligence for Health Care Security,” *Arab. J. Sci. Eng.*, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s13369-021-06083-8.
- 32) Z. Safdar, S. Farid, M. Qadir, K. Asghar, J. Iqbal, and F. K. Hamdani, “A Novel Architecture for Internet of Things Based E-Health Systems,” *J. Med. Imaging Heal. Informatics*, vol. 10, no. 10, pp. 2378–2388, 2020, doi: 10.1166/jmihi.2020.3184.
- 33) N. Mukati, N. Namdev, R. Dilip, N. Hemalatha, V. Dhiman, and B. Sahu, “Healthcare Assistance to COVID-19 Patient using Internet of Things (IoT) Enabled Technologies,” *Mater. Today Proc.*, Jul. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.matpr.2021.07.379.
- 34) S. Monteith, T. Glenn, J. Geddes, E. Severus, P. C. Whybrow, and M. Bauer, “Internet of things issues related to psychiatry,” *Int. J. Bipolar Disord.*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 11, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1186/s40345-020-00216-y.